UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GEORGE M. KASSAB, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 11CV1530-BTM (POR)

ORDER RE MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RELIEF

Plaintiffs, grocery store owners in San Diego, filed this action seeking judicial review of an administrative action by Defendants, which found that Plaintiffs had trafficked in food stamps. As a result of this determination, Defendants permanently disqualified Plaintiffs from participating in the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program.

Pending is Plaintiffs' ex parte motion for temporary relief [doc. #4], in which Plaintiffs request the court to stay this administrative decision pending disposition of Plaintiffs' appeal pursuant to 7 U.S.C. § 2023(a)(17). Under this section, Plaintiffs may seek a stay of the administrative action under review "on application to the court on not less than ten days' notice." § 2023(a)(17). Plaintiffs cannot seek preliminary relief without affording Defendant the requisite notice. See Skyson USA, LLC v. United States, 651 F. Supp. 2d 1202, 1205 (D. Haw. 2009) (discussing prior denial of a motion for relief under § 2023(a)(17) on this ground).

Plaintiffs have not filed a proof of service of the complaint or of the instant motion.

Defendants have not entered a notice of appearance. Because Plaintiffs have not provided

Defendants with the requisite ten days notice, Plaintiffs' motion for temporary relief is

DENIED without prejudice. Plaintiffs shall serve the complaint on Defendants within ten days of entry of this order. After Plaintiffs file a proof of service, Plaintiffs may re-file a motion for relief under § 2023(a)(17). On the day Plaintiffs are ready to re-file this motion, they shall call chambers to obtain a hearing date. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: September 16, 2011 Zuny Ted morkout Honorable Barry Ted Moskowitz United States District Judge